

Cristiano Ciappei – Satu Rintanen

WASTE MANAGEMENT  
IN URBAN SETTINGS

Cristiano Ciappei – Satu Rintanen, *Waste Management in Urban Settings*  
Copyright© 2011 UNI Service  
Via Verdi, 9/A – I-38122 Trento  
www.uni-service.it – editrice@uni-service.it

First Edition: october 2011 – *Printed in Italy*  
ISBN 978-88-6178-749-0

Cover Image:

*St. George and the dragon*, Novogrod school, Tempera on wood,  
58.4x41.8x3.5, State Russian Museum, Sankt Petersburg, late XV

Cover Design: 



[www.uni-service.it](http://www.uni-service.it)

Novità - Catalogo - Acquisti on-line

# CONTENTS

PREFACE	13
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	17
1. WASTE MANAGEMENT IN URBAN SETTINGS	19
1.1. Definition of waste	19
1.2. Waste classification by origin and type	22
1.3. Municipal waste management systems	25
1.4. Current trends in waste generation and management in the EU	29
1.5. Waste incineration with energy recovery	39
1.5.1. Description of the process	39
1.5.2. A short view of waste incineration history	41
1.5.3. General arguments for and against waste incineration	42
1.6. Case study on the Florence plain waste-to-energy plant project	44
1.6.1. Background and scope	44
1.6.2. Literature review	46
1.6.3. Methods	47
1.6.4. Structure of the report	49
2. THE EUROPEAN UNION'S STRATEGIC AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR WASTE INCINERATION WITH ENERGY RECOVERY	53
2.1. The challenge of strategic change	53
2.2. EU legislation on waste	59
2.2.1. General regulatory framework	59
2.2.2. Waste Incineration Directive	63
2.2.3. Authorization and control of waste incinerators	65
2.2.4. Proposed amendments to waste incineration regulations	67
2.3. Implementation of EU legislation at national and local level	68
2.4. Waste management planning	69
2.4.1. Planning process and the content of plans	69
2.5. Stakeholder involvement in waste management planning	71

3.	THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON WASTE POLICIES AND WASTE SERVICE PROVIDING	75
3.1.	Key aspects of today's public governance	75
3.1.1.	Definition and levels of governance	75
3.1.2.	Stakeholder participation and drivers for action	78
3.1.3.	Environmental governance and relevant stakeholders	80
3.1.4.	The two sides of participation story	82
3.2.	Legitimacy questions regarding public governance and companies providing public services	84
3.2.1.	Legitimacy of activities from risk society perspective	84
3.2.2.	Ethical and strategic assessment of issues	87
3.2.3.	Transformation of places through facility siting	88
3.3.	Public governance and the challenge of sustainable development	92
3.3.1.	Sustainable development principles	92
3.3.2.	Transition management as governance model towards sustainability	96
3.3.3.	From technical improvements to system change	97
3.4.	Sustainable consumption and production governance	99
3.4.1.	Paths to consumer behavior change	99
3.4.2.	Paths to increase producer responsibility	102
4.	THE FLORENCE PLAIN WASTE-TO-ENERGY PLANT PROJECT	105
4.1.	The main activities and events of the project	105
4.2.	Project background and past experiences	109
4.3.	National and local waste legislation and its implementation	112
4.4.	Waste-to-energy plant requisite analysis	118
4.4.1.	The area of interest and waste generated	118
4.4.2.	The current ATO 6 waste management system and its pitfalls	120
4.4.3.	The planned ATO 6 waste management system and expected benefits	127
4.4.4.	Technical and functional characteristics of the new plant	130
4.4.5.	Investment and management costs of the new plant	131
4.5.	The controversial question of plant location	133
4.5.1.	Potential plant sites	133
4.5.2.	Health impact assessment	135
4.6.	Stakeholder approaches and interaction	137
4.6.1.	The attitudes and actions of line authorities	137
4.6.2.	The opposition of local population	139

4.6.3. Participatory forum for information exchange and public consultation	142
4.6.4. Local population's attitudes on waste management	144
4.7 Comparison with selected cases	145
4.7.1. General acceptability of WTE in Italy in the light of a few examples	145
4.7.2. General acceptability of WTE in Germany in the light of a few examples	147
4.7.3 General acceptability of WTE in Finland in the light of a few examples	148
5. CONCLUSIONS	153
5.1. General observations	153
5.2. Sustainability considerations	161
5.3. Transition management through scenario building	162
5.4. Governance implications	165
6. REFERENCES	169
7. APPENDIX I – TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	183



## INDEX OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Municipal solid waste management system (adapted from Hickman, H. L., 1999)	27
Figure 2. Waste flow chart (adapted from “Waste Guide – Facts about Sorting Waste”, Helsinki Metropolitan Area Council YTV, <a href="http://www.ytv.fi">http://www.ytv.fi</a> .)	28
Figure 3. A functioning scheme of waste incineration plant with energy recovery (from the website of “I Cipressi” WTE plant)	40
Figure 4. The OECD definition of waste minimization (the European Topic Centre on Resource and Waste management)	55
Figure 5: EU regulatory framework for waste	60
Figure 6. Strategic and ethical issue diagnosis (adapted from Schurer Lambert, 2000, p. 325)	87
Figure 7. The former 10 ATOs in the region of Tuscany (from the website of the Florence metropolitan area n. 6 waste consortium)	106
Figure 8. The three inter-provincial ATOs in the region of Tuscany (from the website of the area n. 10 waste consortium)	107
Table 1. Municipal waste generated in EU-27 Member States 1995-2006 (Eurostat, 2007a, p. 148)	31
Table 2. Municipal waste landfilled in EU-27 Member States 1995-2006 (Eurostat, 2007a, p. 150).	32
Table 3. Municipal waste incinerated in EU-27 Member States 1995-2006 (Eurostat, 2007a, p. 152)	33
Table 4. Energy production from incineration of municipal solid waste in EU-27 Member States 1995-2006 (Eurostat, 2007a, p. 154)	34
Table 5. WTE plants in Europe operating in 2003 and 2006 (CEWEP. <a href="http://www.cewep.com/">http://www.cewep.com/</a> )	36
Table 6. Treatment of waste in EU-27 Member States in 2004 (Eurostat, 2007a, p. 158)	38
Table 7. Advantages of citizen participation in government decision making (from Irvin and Stansbury, 2004, p. 55)	83
Table 8. Disadvantages of citizen participation in government decision making (from Irvin and Stansbury, 2004, p. 58)	83
Table 9. Organizations as place builders (from Thomas and Cross, 2007, p. 42)	91

Table 10. Principles and governance challenges of SD strategies (from the ESDN Office, <a href="http://www.sd-network.eu/">http://www.sd-network.eu/</a> )	95
Table 11. The chronology of the Florence plain WTE plant project's activities and events	108
Table 12. Waste production in ATO 6 from 1999 to 2005 (Regional Resource Recovery Agency)	121
Table 13. Waste production in ATO 6 from 2006 to 2012 (Regional Resource Recovery Agency)	122
Table 14. The total waste generated in ATO 6 by municipalities, divided into separated and non-separated collection in 2006 (Regional Resource Recovery Agency)	123
Table 15. Types of municipal waste in ATO 6 in 2005 (the Florence metropolitan area industrial plan, 2007, pp. 21-24)	124
Table 16. Waste treatment and disposal plants in the integrated system of ATO 6 (the Florence metropolitan area industrial plan, 2007, p. 64)	128
Table 17. The dimensions of the planned ATO 6 system's WTE plants (the Florence metropolitan area industrial plan, 2007, p. 82)	129
Table 18. The main technical and functional data of Case Passerini WTE plant (the Florence metropolitan area industrial plan, 2007 and updated time schedules, pp. 83-86)	130
Table 19. The Case Passerini WTE plant's investment and operating cost plan (the Florence metropolitan area industrial plan, 2007, pp. 83-86)	132
Table 20: Waste incineration plant projects in progress in 2006 (Huhtinen et al., 2007, p. 112)	149

WASTE MANAGEMENT  
IN URBAN SETTINGS



## PREFACE

Tuscany is one of the Italian regions that produce more waste. Families, businesses and governments in 2006, according to the report by the regional resource recovery (Arrr) than for the Environment (Arpat) and of the regional waste, have produced 2,562,000 tons well. In addition there are special waste, most of them categorized as hazardous waste. A complex situation, which contribute to factors such as the significant tourist flows hosted by the Tuscany – over 40 million people every year – and the presence of an economy made up of many small and medium-sized businesses, whose waste is often treated as waste urban. Cycle management of waste in Tuscany is entrusted to three Ato interprovincial: Ato Center of Tuscany (Florence, Prato, Pistoia), West Tuscany (Lucca, Pisa, Livorno, Massa Carrara), South Tuscany (Arezzo, Siena, Grosseto).

The recycling has increased, but still a good result to improve. About 12% of waste from Tuscany (the same percentage to almost 10 years) is burned in incinerators in one of 8 currently operating in Tuscany. The rest ends up in one of 22 landfills. The largest are located in Terranuova Bracciolini, and Peccioli Rosignano. It is estimated that these plants will be exhausted between 2010 and 2011. The objective of this report is to provide an image of the state of affairs in the waste sector and the role of waste-to-energy in it. This report can inspire work with waste management by mapping out opportunities for and barriers to the use of waste incineration with energy recovery in local waste management systems. The emphasis is placed on the study of the Florence plain waste-to-energy plant project that was already started in the beginning of 2000, but is still waiting to be executed. According to the local government, this project is necessary and legitimate, but some local inhabitants, supported by environmental associations, have opposed it mainly for two reasons. Firstly, because waste incineration with energy recovery draws attention away from the real priorities of the sector (waste prevention and

reduction). Secondly, because the plant will excessively degrade the environmental quality of the area in which it will be located. The waste sector is highly regulated and influenced by the EU's strategic guidelines. Waste quantities are growing and, at the same time, waste is being diverted from landfills. In the first chapter of the report is defined what is waste today. Different meanings can be attached to it, as it is quite common to try to fit the definition to the purpose it is used for. A legal definition is needed to give meaning to operations within the waste sector, i. e. to regulate, enable, and limit them. Although the European Commission considers the definition of waste still substantively valid, it has perceived a need to set clear boundaries for when a waste has been adequately treated and should be considered a product, i. e. when a waste ceases to be a waste and becomes a secondary raw material (capable of economic reutilization). The Commission proposes to establish waste-stream-based environmental criteria to be added in the framework directive to fill this conceptual gap. With this amendment, it intends to enhance the market of recycled products and to facilitate recycling activities, in harmony with the priorities set for the sector (CEC, COM 2005, 666 final, Annex 1, p. 13). Adequate and safe waste management has become an issue of great international interest, and therefore the European Union's regulator has provided such a definition in the sector's framework directive: "waste refers to any substance or object in the categories set out in Annex I which the holder discards or intends or is required to discard" (Directive 2006/12/EC, article 1, point a). In the second chapter of the report is defined the European Union's approach to waste management.

In the EU's strategic approach, the avoidance of waste is placed at the top of the list of activities, in conformity with the objectives agreed internationally and laid down in the OECD's definition of waste minimization. The concept of waste minimization is based on a model known as waste hierarchy, according to which waste minimization covers activities from waste avoidance and resource recovery to environmentally sound treatment and disposal, in the stated order of preference. The waste hierarchy provides strategic guidelines for the organization of activities. The selection and mix of components that form a waste management system should be based on careful planning that guarantees technically, economically, and environmentally sustainable outcomes. The long-term goal for the whole Community is to become a recycling society, where the avoidance of waste and resource recovery take priority over other options. The Sixth Environment Action Programme of the European Community 2002-2012 (6th EAP) sets out the framework for envi-

ronmental policy making and identifies four priority areas: climate change, nature and biodiversity, environment and health, and natural resources and waste<sup>18</sup>. Seven thematic strategies form the framework for action at the EU level. “Taking Sustainable Use of Resources Forward: A Thematic Strategy on the Prevention and Recycling of Waste” is the strategy that concerns natural resources and waste: it sets out guidelines for the improvement of waste management (CEC, COM 2005, 666 final). The strategy intends to contribute to the achievement of overall aims, which are preventing climate change, protecting natural resources, contributing to the high quality of life, and decoupling waste generation from economic growth (6th EAP, article 2).

In the third chapter, the report analyzes, theoretical perspectives on waste policies and waste service providing. Governance refers to the exercise of power by executive bodies in public (the EU, state, etc.) or private (corporate) contexts (see CEC, 2001a, p. 8). It deals with rules and processes needed to negotiate a range of interests in society. It differs from the traditional top-down government models, basically in its approach to stakeholders, which are given a more active role in decision making and in the implementation of public policies. In fact, decisions that policy makers and public authorities make on the basis of expert statements have been questioned along with their legitimacy. This lack of trust can lead to manifestations of dissatisfaction with current institutions and to demands for direct participation (Spash, 2001, p. 475).

To overcome legitimacy problems, a broader range of stakeholders are allowed to participate in the decision making process and to scrutinize the performance (Gouldson, 2004, pp. 136-149). Governance practices develop in parallel with the persistence of the top-down approach. In a typical top-down approach, public authorities conceive a policy and professional staff develop it, with no or limited stakeholder involvement because the role of politicians (like that of leaders in private sector and civil society) is to provide leadership and promote strategies in the public interest. In any case, public authorities (from national to local level) are responsible for policy implementation: they allocate financial resources, provide information for dealing with problems, and ensure the compliance of action oriented plans with the legislation in force (OECD, 2002, p. 98). The waste sector provides a good example of global problems that need to be faced with local solutions. The EU has taken the leading role in many environmental governance issues, like climate change, energy sources, and waste management, which are interdependent and need to be addressed globally. Within this higher level framework, poli-

cy implementation takes place locally, on the basis of effective needs. Direct public participation may offer opportunities to incorporate local experiences and knowledge, community values, and concern in specific issue political decisions, alongside scientific information, which quality, relevance, and limitations must be assessed. An alternative to science-based (or risk-based) assessment, which relies on probabilities and numeric values, is precaution-based assessment, based on prudence in uncertain situations (Klinke and Renn, 2002, p. 1074).

It can be expected that a participative approach to decision making at local level tends to shift the focus from scientific rationalism toward discursive reasoning (Spash, 2001, p. 480). The EU has established a strategic and regulatory framework for the waste sector, but the shaping of policies at the local level depends on evaluations based on effective needs, values, and priorities. Both compliance and strategic importance affect problem solving, but decision makers must first recognize their implications. Waste policies and their implementation through the building of adequate management systems can be examined from the viewpoint of ethical and strategic issue diagnosis. In the same way that corporations empowered to carry out waste management activities evaluate their courses of action. The fourth chapter defines the Florence plain waste-to-energy plant project. In the region of Tuscany, a WTE plant must have its rationale in the regional waste infrastructure requisite analysis. It is the task of regional and provincial councils to establish which waste management facilities are needed and where, and to ensure that all projects are part of a coherent system. The role of municipal executive bodies is to put the decisions into practice. The project has laboriously moved ahead, with long intervals, due to the impossibility of the local government to make final decisions relative to the plant, especially to its siting. During the process, the local executive bodies have experimented with some forms of stakeholder participation with the aim of enforcing public consensus, but the results obtained have been quite barren.

Today, the project is about to take a step forward from the preliminary planning stage to executive operations, which include, among other things, the nomination of the operator in charge of the plant's engineering design. As soon as the design is ready, it will be submitted for the process of environmental impact assessment and permitting. The relative procedures are established in Directives 1985/337/EC and 1997/11/EC (the EIA directives), and in the Directive 1996/61/EC (the IPPC directive). Finally, in chapter five will be exposed to the conclusions of the report.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this report is to provide an image of the state of affairs in the waste sector and the role of waste-to-energy in it. This report can inspire work with waste management by mapping out opportunities for and barriers to the use of waste incineration with energy recovery in local waste management systems. The emphasis is placed on the study of the Florence plain waste-to-energy plant project that was already started in the beginning of 2000, but is still waiting to be executed. According to the local government, this project is necessary and legitimate, but some local inhabitants, supported by environmental associations, have opposed it mainly for two reasons. Firstly, because waste incineration with energy recovery draws attention away from the real priorities of the sector (waste prevention and reduction). Secondly, because the plant will excessively degrade the environmental quality of the area in which it will be located. The waste sector is highly regulated and influenced by the EU's strategic guidelines. Waste quantities are growing and, at the same time, waste is being diverted from landfills. Priority is given to material and energy recovery. The following main conclusions can be drawn from the findings:

- There is a need to establish a meaningful dialogue and problem solving between local policy makers, public authorities, and stakeholders.
- The role of waste-to-energy plants in the achievement of strategic objectives should be clear and well-founded.
- More attention should be paid to the skills and competences of local waste operators to enhance public trust and the credibility of policies.
- Waste-to-energy plants should be evaluated on the basis of their contribution to the local system and the compensation of harm to the local community should be assured during the preliminary planning.
- Effective information dissemination at the grassroots level is necessary to encourage citizen engagement in beneficial practices.